	NUMERTAL PROTT	CTION	
BI GHI	1	a car	
FI	ORIDA	T	1
			-

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/E ARMS COMPL	DISCOVERY (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0951326 DATE: <u>7/31/2013</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:27</u>	DEPART	: <u>11:10</u>	
FACILITY NAME: MOBILE MIX MASTERS				
FACILITY LOCATION: 3208 Overland Rd				
АРОРКА 32703-9473	3			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: PET	FER PIACENTI	PHONE: (407)294-88	378	
Email: CONTACT NAME: PETER PIACENTI		Mobile: PHONE: (407)294-88	378	
Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/15/2009 / 3/15/2014 (effective date) (end date)	4	Mobile:		
Facility Section				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☑ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
[
PART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u>			(check \square only one box for each question)	
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):				
Brief Notes:				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still PETER PIACEN If no, who is?:	NTI?		YesNo	
If different, did the facility provide an administrative u 3. Is the facility contact still PETER PIACENTI? If no, who is?:				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's in If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 1.	nspection? 5 days in advance?		⊠ Yes □No - ⊠ Yes □No	

Emissions Unit Section

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>		
1. Date of last inspection: <u>12/8/2011</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
		-
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	🛛 No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	🛛 No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	_	
operation? X N/A	\Box Vac	
	∐ Yes	∐ No
d. Date of last VE test: $\frac{12/8/2011}{2}$		
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?		No No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	Yes	No No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 27.29 tons/hour	_	
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A	Yes	No No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	Yes	🖂 No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour		
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	Yes	No No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
If not, what was the problem (if known).		
DADELL OF A OLZ EMIGRIONS from a star of the homeon (boto hom) on other]
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	question)
	0011101 111	<i>questien,</i>
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Xes Yes	□ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		I
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes	No No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?	K_3	
	inducted at a r	ota
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co	inducted at a r	ate
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contract that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ∑ No ∑ N/A – silo not loading 	ded during ins	pection.
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contrast is representative of the normal silo loading rate? X Yes No N/A – silo not loade. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins	ate pection.
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contrast is representative of the normal silo loading rate? X Yes No N/A - silo not loade. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins	pection.
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contrast is representative of the normal silo loading rate? X Yes No N/A – silo not loade. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins	pection.
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contrast is representative of the normal silo loading rate? X Yes No X/A - silo not loade. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins - 🛛 Yes 🗌 Yes	pection.
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contrast is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ∑ No ∑ N/A – silo not loader. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins - 🛛 Yes D Yes <i>h</i>	pection.
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contrast is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ∑ No ∑ N/A – silo not loaded. e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins - 🛛 Yes D Yes <i>h</i> . D Yes	pection.
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contrast is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ∑ No ∑ N/A – silo not loaded. e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins - 🛛 Yes D Yes <i>h</i> . D Yes	pection.
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contrast is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ∑ No ∑ N/A – silo not loaded. e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins - ⊠ Yes ☐ Yes <i>h</i> . ☐ Yes ite and - ☐ Yes	pection.
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contrast is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ∑ No ∑ N/A – silo not loade. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins - \boxtimes Yes \square Yes h. \square Yes ite and - \square Yes ites	pection.
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contrast is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ∑ No ∑ N/A – silo not loade e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins - X Yes P Yes h. Yes ite and - Yes ites h is separate	pection.
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contrast is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ∑ No ∑ N/A – silo not loade. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins - X Yes P Yes h. Yes ite and - Yes ites h is separate	pection.
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contrast is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ∑ No ∑ N/A – silo not loade. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins - X Yes - Yes h. Yes ite and - Yes ites h is separate lector	pection. No No No No
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contrast is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ∑ No ∑ N/A – silo not loaded. e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins - X Yes - Yes h. Yes ite and - Yes ites h is separate lector ? Yes	pection.
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contrast is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ∑ No ∑ N/A – silo not loaded. e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins - \boxtimes Yes h. \square Yes the and - \square Yes tes h is separate lector ? \square Yes es. \square	pection. No No No No
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contrast is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ∑ No ∑ N/A – silo not loaded. e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins - \boxtimes Yes h. \square Yes the and - \square Yes tes h is separate lector ? \square Yes es. \boxtimes Yes	pection. No No No No No No No
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contrast is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ∑ No ∑ N/A - silo not loading e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins - \boxtimes Yes h. \square Yes the and - \square Yes tes h is separate lector ? \square Yes es. \boxtimes Yes	pection. No No No No
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo control that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes □ No □ N/A - silo not loade. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins - \boxtimes Yes \square Yes h. \square Yes ite and - \square Yes ites h is separate lector ? \square Yes es. \boxtimes Yes \boxtimes Yes	pection. No No No No No No No
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo control that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes □ No □ N/A - silo not loade. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins - \boxtimes Yes \square Yes h. \square Yes ite and - \square Yes ites h is separate lector ? \square Yes es. \boxtimes Yes \boxtimes Yes	pection. No No No No No No No
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contrast is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ∑ No ∑ N/A - silo not loading e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins - \boxtimes Yes \square Yes h. \square Yes ite and - \square Yes ites h is separate lector ? \square Yes es. \boxtimes Yes \boxtimes Yes	pection. No No No No No No No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	🛛 Yes - 🖂 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? 		🔀 No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gen permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		🖾 No
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yrMM gal propaga275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propaga)?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consu for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🛛 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
 Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? 		No
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No

1. Is the facility: stationary []; relocatable []: or consisting of both stationary and relocatable [] box for each question] 1. Is the facility: stationary []; relocatable []: or consisting of both stationary, skip the following question 2.) 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? [] Yes [] No (If YES, answer 2. a and 2. b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? [] Yes [] No b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? [] Yes [] No c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? [] Yes [] No 3. If the relocatable blach plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable blach plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? [] Yes [] No If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? [] Yes [] No <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>			
1. Is the facility: stationary [≥]; relocatable []; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable [] 1 concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following question 2.) 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	<u>RELOCATABLE PLANT:</u>		•
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following question 2.) 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	1. Is the facility: stationary \square ; relocatable \square ; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \square	box for each	question)
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? □ Yes □ No (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation 1 Local Air Program by telephone, □ weight the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] weight the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] weight the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] weight the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? weight the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? weight the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? weight the second and the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e., there is no repeated usage)? Yes No 3. If the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e., there is no repeated usage)? Yes No If YES, wat was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was weight the permitted facility? No If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? Weight the second proves Weight the second proves No If YES, did the facility? weight the pro		ng question 2.)	1
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? □ Yes □ No (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation 1 Local Air Program by telephone, □ weight the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] weight the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] weight the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] weight the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] weight the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? weight the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? weight the second se			
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2. b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? Yes No b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? Yes No c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? Yes No 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes No b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? Yes No If YES, ware any periods more than 6 months in duration? Yes No If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? Yes No 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or any omissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change? Here No 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility;	2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and		
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,		🗋 Yes	∐ No
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?			
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? Yes No No c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? Yes No No 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes No No ft YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? Yes No No ft YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? Yes No No <i>Administrative Changes:</i> (check I only one box for each question) 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No No 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process eq		- 🗌 Yes	\Box No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? Yes No No c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? Yes No 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes No b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? Yes No Yes No ft YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? Yes No Yes No <i>Administrative Changes:</i> (check ✓ only one box for each question) Administrative Changes: No Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility? Yes No Yes No 2. If YES, did the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No Yes No 3. If types, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No No 3. Since the last registration form submittal has			
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? Yes No 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e., there is no repeated usage)? Yes No If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? Yes No If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? Yes No If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? Yes No If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? Yes No Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? Yes No b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes No			🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes No If YES, what was the purpose? No b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?			_
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes No If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was Yes No oc-located at the permitted facility?	to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	🗌 Yes	No No
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? ☐ Yes ☐ No If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?		•,	
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? ☐ Yes No If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was Yes No b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was Yes No co-located at the permitted facility? Yes No If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? Yes No CHANGES (check ☑ only one box for each question) Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been Yes No b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes No		rmit,	
If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? Yes No CHANGES (check ☑ only one box for each question) Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? □ Yes □ No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? □ Yes □ No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? □ Yes □ No b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? □ Yes □ No		\sim)? \Box Ves	
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was			
co-located at the permitted facility? Yes No If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? Yes No CHANGES (check ☑ only one box for each question) Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? □ Yes □ No No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? □ Yes □ No No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? □ Yes □ No b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? □ Yes □ No No			
CHANGES (check ☑ only one box for each question) Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? □ Yes □ No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? □ Yes □ No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	co-located at the permitted facility?	🗌 Yes	No No
Administrative Changes: box for each question) 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? □ Yes □ No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? □ Yes □ No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? □ Yes □ No b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? □ Yes □ No	If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
Administrative Changes: box for each question) 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? □ Yes □ No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? □ Yes □ No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? □ Yes □ No b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? □ Yes □ No			
Administrative Changes: box for each question) 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? □ Yes □ No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? □ Yes □ No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? □ Yes □ No b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? □ Yes □ No			
Administrative Changes: box for each question) 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? □ Yes □ No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? □ Yes □ No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? □ Yes No b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? □ Yes □ No	CHANGES	(check 🗹	only one
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? Yes No b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes No 			
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? □ Yes □ No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? □ Yes □ No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? □ Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No			
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? □ Yes No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? □ Yes No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Yes No 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been Yes Yes No b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? □ Yes Yes No			
 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No <u>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership</u>: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? Yes Wo b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes 			
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes Yes No			
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes Yes			
a. Installation of any new process equipment? Yes No b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes No			
	a. Installation of any new process equipment?	🗌 Yes	
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	🗌 Yes	_
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	🗌 Yes	No No
d. A change in ownership? Yes Xoo	d. A change in ownership?	📋 Yes	🖂 No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted	4. If the answer to any question $3a - d_{i}$ is YES was a new registration form and the appropriate fee su	omitted	
30 days prior to the change? Yes No			

Assefa Hailemariam

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

~12/31/2014

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

7/31/2013

COMMENTS: The inspector, Assefa Hailemariam, met Bruno Ferraro, consultant from Grove Scientific and Engineering, on July 31,2013 to audit the compliance test being conducted on the silo. Emission observed opacity was 0.0% and the loading rate was 38.4 tons/hour. . Facility does not have a weigh hopper/batcher. No PM was observed leaving the property. No objectionable odors were detected during the compliance test. The facility Manager, Dan Cherella, was present during the VE test. This VE test was conducted as a make-up for the facility 2012 VE emission test, which did not occur due to oversight.